**FILED** 

AUG 0 7 2008

### INDIANA UTILITIES CORPORATION Corydon, Indiana

INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION Cause No. 43520

# DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF DUANE C. MERCER

and

**BONNIE J. MANN** 

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

DCM - DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DUANE C. MERCER

BJM - DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BONNIE J. MANN

DCM-1 - EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT A - Comparative Balance Sheets

SCHEDULE A-1 - Detail of Utility Plant in Service

EXHIBIT B - Comparative Statements of Net Income

SCHEDULE B-1 - Detail of Operating Revenue
SCHEDULE B-2 - Detail of Operating Expenses

EXHIBIT C - Pro-Forma Operating Income Statement

SCHEDULE C-1 - Detail of Adjustments - Mercer/Mann
SCHEDULE C-2 - Detail of Pro-Forma Adjustments - Mercer

SCHEDULE C-3 - Total Revenue Calculation

EXHIBIT D - Original Cost Rate Base at 9/30/08

EXHIBIT E - Cost of Capital Calculation

#### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION Cause No. 43520

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

DUANE C. MERCER

1			INDIANA UTILITIES CORPORATION
2			Corydon, Indiana
3 4			IURC Cause No. 43520
5 6			Direct Testimony and Exhibits of
7 8 9			Duane C. Mercer
10 11	Q.	1.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
12	A.		My name is Duane C. Mercer and my business address is One American Square,
13			Suite 2600 Indianapolis, Indiana 46282.
14			
15	Q.	2.	MR. MERCER, WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?
16	A.		I am a Certified Public Accountant with the London Witte Group, LLC, a firm,
17			which, specializes, in utility regulation before the Indiana Utility Regulatory
18			Commission.
19			
20	Q.	3.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL
21			BACKGROUND AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS, WHICH YOU
22			BELIEVE MIGHT BE RELEVANT TO THE PRE-FILED TESTIMONY AND
23			ORAL OPINIONS YOU OFFER?
24	Α.		I am a 1969 graduate of Indiana University where I earned a Bachelor of Science
25			degree in Business, with a concentration in accounting and economics. Following
26			graduation, I was employed by the international accounting firm of Ernst & Young
27			where I performed financial and operational audits, director's examinations and
28			similar other services for a variety of clients. In 1972, RCA Consumer Electronics
29			Division employed me in the Internal Auditing Department where I managed in the
30			development and implementation of audit programs for all fiscal and administrative

areas of the Division. In 1976, I became Manager of International Finance for the Consumer Electronics Division of RCA with primary responsibility for financial planning, forecasting, and coordination of our foreign operating plants. In 1978, the Consumer Counselor's office for the State of Indiana employed me as the staff Certified Public Accountant. During my employment with the Consumer Counselor, I advised that office on numerous utility issues coordinated the filings of the Fuel Adjustment Clause and Gas Cost Adjustment with the consultants retained by that office, and testified on their behalf as required. In July 1983, I established a practice specializing in utility regulation. In 1985, I consolidated my practice with two other practitioners and formed Brenner, Mercer & Perry, P.C. to also perform work in the utility regulation area. Since May 1, 1987, I have been the Partner in charge of Utility Services for London Witte Group, LLC, a Firm specializing in, among other areas, utility regulation on behalf of the public, municipal and investor-owned utilities, and intervenors before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission.

#### 16 Q. 4. WHAT ARE YOUR PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS?

A. I am a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), and the Indiana CPA Society (INCPAS), for which I have served as a member of the Utilities Committee.

#### 21 Q. 5. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS CAUSE?

22 A. I am appearing on behalf of Indiana Utilities Corporation.

#### Q. 6. WHAT IS THE SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF YOUR ASSIGNMENT?

A. My firm has been engaged by the Petitioner to analyze Petitioner's current financial

information and advise Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel as to changes in various rates and charges that should be sought in order to better provide a reasonable return on investment in used and useful property and cover all operation, maintenance, and tax expenses of the utility. In providing such service, I have reviewed Petitioner's books and records, examined Petitioner's capital structure, and considered the costs associated with various elements of the capital structure, and reviewed Commission's Orders in numerous cases, among other activities. On the basis of my expertise, experience, and this analysis, I have been able to formulate opinions and make recommendations to Petitioner's management as to various financial matters and rates charged to the various classes of customers for which service has been provided. I have reduced these recommendations to the form of schedules, which are attached to my testimony.

Q.

7.

# MR. MERCER, PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE MAJOR CONCLUSION THAT YOU REACHED OR ANY CONCERNS THAT YOU HAVE FOLLOWING YOUR ANALYSIS.

Indiana Utilities Corporation is not earning its authorized return on rate base approved in its last rate case. Our last rate case was filed in 2003, based on a test year ending December 31, 2002. In addition, since the last rate case Petitioner has lost a significant industrial customer from its customer mix. Permitting Petitioner to earn a reasonable return on its investment will improve Petitioner's financial soundness, appropriately compensate the Utility's investors, and assist in establishing rates sufficient to cover all of its current operation expenses.

1	Q.	8.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TECHNICAL EXHIBITS, WHICH
2			YOU SPONSOR?
3	A.		Yes, I am sponsoring Exhibit C, D, and Exhibit E as well as Schedules C-2, C-3
4			and portions of C-1. Exhibit C presents the "Pro-Forma Operating Income
5			Statement". It reflects the results of operations under differing assumptions. The
6			column entitled "December 31, 2007", reflects the actual per book results of
7			Petitioner's operations for the agreed upon test year. The column entitled "Pro-
8			Forma Present Rates" reflects the results of operations after the adjustments for all
9			fixed, known and measurable elements. The column entitled "Proposed Rates"
10			reflects the projected results of operations based upon a recommended increase of
11			approximately 6.93%. The "Adjustments" reflected on Exhibit C are detailed on
12			Schedules C-1, C-2 and C-3. Exhibit D reflects the calculation of the Original
13			Cost Rate Base at September 30, 2008. Exhibit E summarizes the Cost of Capital
14			calculation for Petitioner.
15			
16	Q.	9.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENTS PRESENTED IN SCHEDULE C-
17.			1, WHICH ARE SPONSORED BY YOU?
18	A.		I am sponsoring Adjustment No. 1 and Adjustment No. 2 as follows:
19			Adjustment No. 1 which adjusts "Operating Revenue" is comprised of five (5)
20			separate adjustments totaling (\$3,669,179) as follows:
21			a) Elimination of (\$2,684,868) in net Gas Cost Adjustment (GCA) Revenues
22			recorded as part of our Pro-Forma present rate revenue for the test year ended
23			December 31, 2007.
24			b) Decrease of (\$1,268,852) in "Operating Revenues" to reflect the
25			synchronization of revenue to be collected through rates based on the changes

1				in the base cost of gas established in the last general rate proceeding and the
2				pro-forma cost of gas as detailed in Adjustment 2.
3			c)	Increase in "Operating Revenue" of \$43,526 for weather normalization
4				resulting from warmer than normal weather. Normal weather in this
5				calculation is the 30-year average of weather information on heating degree-
6				days from 1970 to 2000, as reported by the NOAA weather station approved
7				by this Commission in the small gas NTA proceeding of Louisville,
8				Kentucky.
9			đ)	Reduction of revenue collected from a transportation customer that has
10				switched away from natural gas to oil of (\$27,911).
11			e)	Increase in "Operating Revenue" of \$268,926 for the increase in customers
12				resulting from the approval by the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission in
13				Cause No. 43514 for the CTA territorial expansion and main addition. This
14				represents the estimated annual usage for one large industrial customer
15				(Tyson Foods) and 50 residential customers.
16				
17	Q.	10.	wou	ILD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE ADJUSTMENT NO. 2 FOR
18			PUR	CHASED GAS?
19			Adju	stment 2 decreases the cost of "Natural Gas Purchased" by (\$3,711,740) to
20			elimii	nate the cost of gas from Petitioner's base rates. All gas costs incurred in the
21			future	will be included in the GCA process, verified by the Office of Utility
22			Const	umer Counselor, and approved by the IURC. The elimination of gas cost does
23			not in	any way affect the amount of increase being sought in this proceeding.
				·

1	Q.	11.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENTS PRESENTED IN SCHEDULE
2			C-2 AND SCHEDULE C-3, WHICH ARE SPONSORED BY YOU.

Adjustment A, of Schedule C-2, reflects the projected proposed increase in annual revenue of \$444,057 assuming the proposed rates are granted. Adjustments B, C, D, and E of \$564, \$1,131, 6,217 and \$173,098, respectively reflect the proposed increases in the IURC fee, the bad debt expense, the 1.4% State Utility Receipts Tax, the State Income Tax and the Federal Income Tax associated with the proposed 6.93% increase in rates requested in this filing. In my opinion, I believe each of the adjustments I have made is proper and has been made in accordance with the classification of accounts approved by the Commission for utilities of the class of Petitioner. Schedule C-3 is the calculation of overall revenues on which the proposed rate increase is based. GCA revenue (adjusted for Utility Receipts Tax) has been added to present rate revenue to calculate the Pro-Forma rate increase on average.

A.

Q. 12. BASED UPON THE AFOREMENTIONED FIXED, KNOWN AND MEASURABLE ADJUSTMENTS, WHAT IS THE PROJECTED PROFORMA PRESENT RATE NET OPERATING INCOME?

The Pro-Forma Present Rates Net Operating Income is projected to be \$152,363.

This is a decrease of (\$31,404) from the actual Net Operating Income of \$183,767 for the test year ended December 31, 2007.

#### 23 Q. 13. MR. MERCER, WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE EXHIBIT D?

24 A. Yes. Exhibit D is the calculation of Original Cost Rate Base. It is comprised of several components.

#### Q. 14. WHAT ARE THOSE COMPONENTS?

The major component is Utility Plant-in-Service as of December 30, 2007, of 2 A. 3 \$7,294,301. In addition, major items of plant will be added to rate base between December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2008. These include a new billing system for 4 5 \$31,415, the amount of payroll increase capitalized and removed from the payroll adjustment on Schedule C-1 of \$16,468 and the cost of a new line extension within б the expanded service area for \$750,000. This results in a total cost of Utility Plant-7 in-Service of \$8,092,184. The subtraction of estimated accumulated depreciation of 8 (\$3,714,139) at September 30, 2008 results in the Net Utility Plant in Service of 9 \$4,378,045. 10 To the Net Utility Plant-in-Service, we have added our requirement for cash working 11 capital in the amount of \$124,767 using the FERC 45-day working capital formula, 12 which has been accepted for gas utilities the size of Petitioner in lieu of performing a 13 14 cash lead-lag study. 15 Additionally, we have included the 13-month average of material and supplies in the 16 amount of \$32,011. The total original cost rate base being requested in this Cause is \$4,534,823. 17 18 15. PLEASE CONTINUE WITH THE EXPLANATION OF YOUR EXHIBITS. Q. 19 Exhibit E presents the "Cost of Capital Calculation" and assumes a 10.9% return on 20 A. 21 Common Equity.

22

1

23

24

1	Q.	16	HAVE YOU INCORPORTED THE CONCERNS OF THE OFFICE OF
2			UTILITY CONSUMER COULSELOR WITH RESPECT TO USING A
3			LOWER COST OF CAPITAL TO FINANCE CONSTRUCTION, AS WELL
4			AS, SYNCHRONIZING INTEREST EXPENSE?
5	A.		Yes, I have. We have worked very closely with the Office of Utility Consumer
6			Counselor on several rate cases over the past year. Based on this working
7			relationship I have advised my client that it would be appropriate, not only to finance
8			the CTA territorial expansion, but to also include such financing in the capital
9			structure of Petitioner. In, addition I have synchronized the benefit of the interest
LO			expense associated with such debt to the customers in our State and Federal income
11			tax calculation
12			
13	Q.	17.	MR. MERCER, HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS
14			COMMISSION ON AN APPROPRIATE AND REASONABLE COSTS
15			ASSOCIATED WITH THE CAPITAL STRUCTURE, INCLUDING A RATE
Lб			OF RETURN ON EQUITY FOR INVESTOR-OWNED UTILITIES?
L7	A.		Yes. I have testified before this Commission on numerous occasions on such
L8			subjects.
L 9	Q.	18.	HAVE YOU FORMED AN OPINION AS TO WHAT A REASONABLE RATE
20			OF RETURN ON EQUITY WOULD BE FOR THIS PETITIONER WHICH
21			WOULD ALSO BE REASONABLE FOR THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE?
22	A.		Yes, I have.
23			
24	Q.	19.	PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT YOUR OPINION IS AND GENERALLY HOW
25			YOU ARRIVED AT SUCH OPINION.

I believe the rate of return on equity should be at least 10.9%. I have arrived at such opinion from a variety of considerations. First, I considered the Commission's oft quoted requirements of the purpose of a reasonable return. Namely, that such a return is one which is comparable to returns of others having corresponding risks, is a return which is sufficient to ensure confidence and financial integrity of the regulated utility, is sufficient to maintain its current credit, and will allow the utility to attract capital.

As a participant in the Small Gas Company ARP in 2001, I also considered the fact that the witnesses in that case recognized the risk related to these utilities as a result of the extreme cold winter during 2000 and 2001 and the resultant high cost of natural gas incurred. This risk is associated with the procurement of natural gas, credit concerns by suppliers to small gas utilities and the GCA process itself. Accordingly, some component of these factors should be considered in the rate of return requested by a utility.

In addition, I also reviewed what Petitioner has been able to earn based on the last 20 quarters of the GCA process. Based on that review I noted that Petitioner has a negative Operational Income Earnings Test pool differential of (\$2,099,431), or (\$524,858) on an annual accumulation basis. This deficit pool of earning is significantly in excess of the net operating income being requested by Petitioner today. Again, this factor should also be considered in developing a return for this utility.

Arguably, given the risk of uncertainty resulting from natural gas procurement, as well as the fact that Petitioner has under earned its previously authorized return in 19 out of the last 20 GCA quarters, Petitioners overall rate of return should be higher than that currently authorized of 10.4%.

		Finally, I took into consideration the fact that Indiana Utilities Corporation's stock is
		unlisted on any exchange and the company is a small utility. Therefore consideration
		should be given for the lack of liquidity of the stock and size as the Commission has
		considered in prior cases.
		Taking all of these considerations together and recognizing that Indiana Utilities
		Corporation is trying to retain the confidence of its stockholders, I am of the opinion
		that a 10.9% return on equity is a minimum return that this Commission should
		authorize in this proceeding.
Q.	20.	MR. MERCER, HAVE YOU INCLUDED IN YOUR ADJUSTMENTS FOR
		RATE CASE EXPENSE COSTS OF HIRING AN ECONOMIST TO SPEAK
		TO THE ISSUE OF RATE OF RETURN ON EQUITY?
A.		No, I have not.
Q.	21.	HAVE YOU INCLUDED IN RATE CASE EXPENSE, FUNDS FOR
		PRESENTING TESTIMONY OR EXHIBITS FROM A SEPARATE
		WITNESS ON COST OF SERVICE ISSUES?
A.		No.
Q.	22.	WILL EITHER OF THESE TYPES OF WITNESSES BE NEEDED IN THIS
		CASE?
A.		To the extent that rebuttal testimony and additional financial analysis and/or cost of
		service allocations would be required to rebut these issues, it would be necessary to
		increase my rate case expense adjustment.
	A. Q. Q.	A. Q. 21. A. Q. 22.

1	Q.	23.	HAS THE COMPANY IDENTIFIED WHO MIGHT BE CALLED TO
2			PROVIDE COST OF CAPITAL OR COST OF SERVICE REBUTTAL
3		•	TESTIMONY?
4	A.		Yes. Dr. Boquist on cost of capital; Mr. Heid on cost of service; both recognized
5			experts in these areas.
6			
7	Q.	24.	IF ADDITIONAL WITNESSES SUCH AS DR. BOQUIST OR MR. HEID
8			WERE NECESSARY, WOULD THIS ADD TO PETITIONER'S RATE CASE
9			EXPENSE?
1.0	A.		Yes, based on other recent small gas proceedings, I would estimate an additional
11			\$55,000 would be required.
12			
13	Q.	25.	MR. MERCER, IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF
14			INCREASE IN REVENUES THAT IS REQUIRED BY THE PETITIONER IN
15			ORDER TO RECOVER THE CURRENT COST OF SERVICE INCLUDING
16			A REASONABLE RETURN ON ITS USED AND USEFUL PROPERTY?
17	A.		Based on my analysis and the findings on my exhibits, the Petitioner should be
18			authorized to increase rates and charges by \$444,057 in Operating Revenues. This
19			recommended increase would result in total Pro-Forma Proposed Revenues of
20			\$2,131,535. Deducting Pro-Forma Proposed Operating Expenses of (\$1,716,145)
21			produces a Pro-Forma Proposed Net Operating Income of \$415,390.
22			
23	Q.	26.	IF THIS COMMISSION AUTHORIZED A NET OPERATING INCOME OF
24			\$415,390, WHAT IS THE OVERALL RATE OF RETURN ON
25			PETITIONER'S USED AND USEFUL PLANT?

1	A.		A Net Operating Income of \$415,390 would result in a 9.16% overall return on the
2			original cost rate base. This overall return is very similar to the return granted by this
3			Commission in Petitioners last rate proceeding. The components comprising the
4			overall return are detailed on Exhibit E.
5			
6	Q.	27.	HAVE YOU ALSO EXAMINED PETITIONER'S RATE BASE AND BEEN
7			ABLE TO MAKE A DETERMINATION AS TO THE ORIGINAL COST?
8	A.		Yes, I have. Based upon Petitioner's books and records and my discussions with
9			management, I believe the original cost is no less than \$4,534,823.
LO			
11	Q.	28.	MR. MERCER, ON THE BASIS OF YOUR ANALYSIS, WHAT NET
L2			OPERATING INCOME SHOULD THIS PETITIONER BE AUTHORIZED
1.3			AN OPPORTUNITY TO EARN?
l 4			
15	A.		I believe this Petitioner should be authorized a reasonable opportunity to earn
L 6			\$415,390.
l 7			
L8	Q.	29.	MR. MERCER, HOW WILL THIS PROPOSED INCREASE BE ASSIGNED
L9			TO EACH CUSTOMER CLASS?
20	A.		The overall increase of 6.93%, after increasing the customer charge to comparable
21			levels with other small utilities, will be allocated based on an across-the-board basis.
22			We would propose to increase our General Service customer charge to \$10.00; Large
23			Commercial to \$55.00; Industrial and General Service Transportation to \$400.00 and
24			Large Transportation to \$900.00.
-			O

- 1 Q. 30. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 2 A. Yes sir, it does.

### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION Cause No. 43520

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

BONNIE J. MANN

1 2 3			INDIANA UTILITIES CORPORATION  Corydon, Indiana
4 5			IURC Cause No. 43520
6 7 8 9			Direct Testimony of Bonnie J. Mann
10	Q.	1.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
11	A.		My name is Bonnie J. Mann; my business address is One American Square, Suite
12			2600, Indianapolis, Indiana 46282.
13			
14	Q.	2.	WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?
15	A.		I am a Certified Public Accountant specializing in public utility matters.
16			
17	Q.	3.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
18	A.		I am employed by London Witte Group, LLC. (LWG)
19			
20	Q.	4.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL
21			BACKGROUND AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS?
22	A.		I am a 1987 graduate of Butler University where I earned a Bachelor of Science
23			Degree in business, with a concentration in accounting. Following graduation, I was
24			employed by the international accounting firm of Peat Marwick, where I performed
25			financial and operational audits for a variety of clients. Since September 1988, I have
26			been employed by London Witte Group, LLC, and I am currently a Principal
27			concentrating in the utilities area.

1	Q.	5.	WHAT ARE YOUR PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS?
2	A.		I am a member of the Indiana CPA Society (INCPAS), and the American Institute of
3			Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). I have been a member of the INCPAS
4			Utilities Committee.
5			
6	Q.	6.	WHAT IS THE SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF YOUR ASSIGNMENT?
7	A.		The scope and purpose of my assignment was to assist Mr. Mercer in the review of
8			Petitioner's financial records in order to form an opinion as to the appropriate level of
9			revenues required to recover the Petitioner's current cost of service and to prepare
10			Testimony and Exhibits in the Petitioner's behalf for presentation to the IURC in the
11			form of evidence supporting Petitioner's request.
12			
13	Q.	7.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS CAUSE?
14	A.		I am appearing on behalf of Indiana Utilities Corporation.
15			
16	Q.	8.	I HAND YOU A DOCUMENT MARKED AS PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS
17			BJM AND DCM-1 AND ASK YOU TO IDENTIFY THEM.
18	Α.		Exhibit BJM is my Pre-filed written testimony, and Exhibit DCM-1 is comprised of
19			the technical exhibits and supporting schedules which are used as a basis for our
20			opinion as to the appropriate level of revenues. I have worked in conjunction with
21			Mr. Mercer in developing these supporting schedules.
22	٠		
23			

# 1 Q. 9. WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THE TECHNICAL EXHIBITS WHICH, 2 YOU SPONSOR?

Yes. Exhibit A and B show the audited Comparative Balance Sheets and Statements of Net Income, respectively, for the Petitioner. This historical information is presented as of December 31, 2007, and December 31, 2006, and for the years ended on each of said dates. The twelve months ended December 31, 2007, is the test year approved in the Pre-Hearing Order in this Cause. The books and records of petitioner are kept in accordance with the uniform system of accounts for a gas utility. They are the representations of the management of the Petitioner, and are the starting point for our adjustments. Schedules A-1, B-1 and B-2 are the supporting detail for the major Balance Sheet and Statement of Income elements, respectively. All of the amounts presented in Exhibits A and B as well as Schedules A-1, B-1 and B-2 come directly from the Petitioner's books and records for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. In addition, I am sponsoring certain adjustments in schedule C-1.

A.

A.

# Q. 10. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENTS CONTAINED IN SCHEDULE C-1, WHICH ARE SPONSORED BY YOU?

Yes, Adjustment No.'s 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 are comprised of several adjustments to "Operating Expenses".

- Adjustment No. 3 adjusts "Operation and Maintenance" expense and consists of four (4) different adjustments totaling \$113,840 as follows:
- a) Increase in net payroll expense effective within twelve (12) months from the

1	end of the test period, of \$59,559. Pro-Forma payroll was calculated by
2	annualizing the test year payroll by employee and then making additional
3	adjustments for salary increases expected in December 2008, the Petitioners
4	normal date for payroll increases.
5	b) Increase in "Operation and Maintenance" expense for the increase in the
6	Pension cost of \$14,471. This increase is based on the Pro-Forma salaries
7	calculated for the payroll adjustment described above.
8	c) Increase in "Operation and Maintenance" expense of \$34,249, representing the
9	amortization of the current rate case expenses of \$145,000 in professional fees,
10	the remaining amount of \$12,935 from the NTA proceeding and the cost of
11	customer notice mailings of \$1,250 over the expected life of the proposed rates,
12	which we estimate to be three (3) years
13	d) Increase in IURC fee of \$5,561 to reflect the current IURC rate on the
14	applicable Pro-Forma Present Rate Revenues.
15	•
16	Adjustment 4 consists of five adjustments to "Administrative and General" expense
17	totaling (\$17,394) as follows:
18	a) Increase in "Administrative and General" expense of \$505 to reflect the increase
19	in postage rates at the beginning of the year.
20	b) Increase in "Administrative and General" expense of \$7,466 representing the
21	increase in transportation cost resulting from the increased cost of gasoline.
22	c) Decrease in "Administrative and General" expenses to remove charitable
23	donations of (\$8,890).

1	d) Decrease in "Administrative and General" expenses for extra accounting fees
2	included in the test year resulting from the timing of billings and payments of
3	(\$18,586).
4	e) Increase "Administrative and General" expense by \$2,111 to reflect the cost of
5	the software maintenance contract based for the new billing software purchased
6	by the petitioner.
7	Adjustment 5 reflects the increase in the Pro-Forma "Depreciation and Amortization
8	Expense" for the Utility Plant-In-Service expected as of September 30, 2008. We
9	have calculated depreciation using 3% rate for distribution plant, a 20% rate for
10	transportation, a 5% rate other general equipment, and a 3% rate for buildings. This
11	results in an increase in Depreciation of \$38,809. The Commission previously
	$\cdot$
12	approved these depreciation rates.
12	approved these depreciation rates.
	approved these depreciation rates.  Adjustment 6 is comprised of three (3) adjustments totaling \$30,510 to "Taxes Other
13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13 14	Adjustment 6 is comprised of three (3) adjustments totaling \$30,510 to "Taxes Other
13 14 15	Adjustment 6 is comprised of three (3) adjustments totaling \$30,510 to "Taxes Other Than Income Taxes" as follows:
13 14 15 16	Adjustment 6 is comprised of three (3) adjustments totaling \$30,510 to "Taxes Other Than Income Taxes" as follows:  a) To adjust payroll taxes by \$4,556 for the increase in payroll reflected in
13 14 15 16	Adjustment 6 is comprised of three (3) adjustments totaling \$30,510 to "Taxes Other Than Income Taxes" as follows:  a) To adjust payroll taxes by \$4,556 for the increase in payroll reflected in Adjustment 3 a.
13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Adjustment 6 is comprised of three (3) adjustments totaling \$30,510 to "Taxes Other Than Income Taxes" as follows:</li> <li>a) To adjust payroll taxes by \$4,556 for the increase in payroll reflected in Adjustment 3 a.</li> <li>b) To increase property taxes by \$11,547 to match the amount of property taxes</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Adjustment 6 is comprised of three (3) adjustments totaling \$30,510 to "Taxes Other Than Income Taxes" as follows:</li> <li>a) To adjust payroll taxes by \$4,556 for the increase in payroll reflected in Adjustment 3 a.</li> <li>b) To increase property taxes by \$11,547 to match the amount of property taxes billed during the test year and including the property taxes for the new utility-</li> </ul>

Rate of 1.4%.

1			Adjustment 7 is comprised of two (2) adjustments decreasing Income Taxes by
2			(\$91,895) after considering the afore-mentioned adjustments to revenues and
3			expenses as follows:
4			a) Decrease in State Income Tax of (\$9,747).
5			b) Decrease in Federal Income Tax of (\$82,148).
6			
7	Q.	11.	DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
8	A.		Yes sir, it does.
9			
10	1054545		

#### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION Cause No. 43520

EXHIBITS OF

DUANE C. MERCER

AND

**BONNIE J. MANN** 

#### **BALANCE SHEETS** December 31, 2007 and 2006

		2007	2006
	ASSETS		
UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE			
Gas plant in service		\$ 6,429,350	\$ 5,969,652
General plant		864,951	828,544
Accumulated depreciation		(3,530,296)	(3,306,745)
Net Utility Plant In Service		3,764,005	3,491,451
CURRENT ASSETS			
Cash		257,017	450,087
Accounts receivable		645,090	805,723
Investments		5,289,436	4,487,623
Materials & supplies		31,909	31,517
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		206,699	181,579
Total Current Assets		6,430,151	5,956,529
Total Assets		\$ 10,194,156_	\$ 9,447,980
LIABILITIES AND	SHAREHOLDERS' I	EQUITY	
Capital Stock		\$ 107,400	\$ 107,400
Retained Earnings	•	7,578,430	7,392,256
Additional Paid-in Capital		15,843	15,843
Total Shareholders' Equity		7,701,673	7,515,499
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Accounts Payable		953,988	690,287
Customer deposits		62,931	52,905
Accrued expenses		55,375	49,124
Other Deferred Credits		190,630	117,152
Total Current Liabilities		1,262,924	909,468
UNAMORTIZED INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT		33,534	35,334
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES		1,196,023	987,680
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	,	\$ 10,194,156	\$ 9,447,980

#### DETAIL OF UTILITY PLANT-IN-SERVICE December 31, 2007 and 2006

Description			2007	•	2006
Utility Plant in Service					
Distribution Plant					
Mains - Distribution		\$	3,268,488	\$	3,102,325
Mains - Transmission			754,580		754,036
Reg. stations equipment			93,746		93,746
Services			1,233,853		1,185,483
Meters			677,030		594,163
Automatic Meter Reading			150,423		-
New meter installations			232,243		220,912
Land and Right of Ways			18,987		18,987
Total Distribution Plant		_\$_	6,429,350	\$	5,969,652
General Plant		•		•	4.40.000
Structures and improvements		\$	160,484	\$	142,662
Office furniture and equipment	·		42,267		42,267
Transportation equipment			269,736		269,736
Tools & shop equipment			68,833		61,368
Power operated equipment			161,865		161,865
Communication equipment			23,523		23,481
Computer equipment			138,243	٠	127,165
Total General Plant			864,951		828,544
Total Utility Plant in Service		\$	7,294,301	_\$_	6,798,196

### COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF NET INCOME For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,

Description	2007	2006
Operating Revenues		
Gas sales	\$ 5,331,514	\$ 5,788,496
Other gas revenues	25,143	33,741
Total Operating Revenues	5,356,657	5,822,237
Operating Expenses		
Natural gas purchased	3,711,740	4,086,615
Distribution expense	194,545	202,385
Customer accounts expense	76,101	77,104
Administrative and general expense	631,045	643,735
Depreciation	226,814	248,064
Taxes other than income taxes	147,375	148,666
Income taxes	185,270	172,968
Total Operating Expenses	5,172,890	5,579,537
Net Operating Income	183,767	242,700
Other Income and Expense - Net		
Interest income	126,948	115,244
Dividend income	78,841	72,826
Gain (loss) on sale of investments	in the state of th	2,000
Interest expense	(3,402)	(2,907)
All other income, net	12,780	9,916
Total Other Income and Expense - Net	215,167	197,079
Net Income	\$ 398,934	\$ 439,779

### COMPARATIVE DETAIL OF OPERATING REVENUES For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,

Description	2007	2006
Operating Revenues		
Gas sales		
Residential	\$ 1,863,054	\$ 1,976,386
Commercial	2,706,182	3,013,505
Industrial	639,893	689,041
Transportation	122,385	109,564
Total gas sales	5,331,514	5,788,496
Other gas revenues		
Other utility revenue	25,143	33,741
Total operating revenues	\$ 5,356,657	\$ 5,822,237

### COMPARATIVE DETAIL OF OPERATING EXPENSES For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,

Description	2007	2006
Operating Expenses		
Natural gas purchased		
Natural gas purchases	\$ 3,687,740	\$ 4,103,928
Natural gas purchases, other	24,000	(17,313)
Total natural gas purchased	3,711,740	4,086,615
Distribution & Transmission Expenses		
Operation of mains	85,800	97,040
Mains & service expense	49,438	65,754
Meter & regulator expense	33,678	25,391
Building & mlsc equip maintenance	7,420	2,071
Building expenses	18,209	12,129
Total distribution expense	194,545	202,385
Customer accounts expenses		
Meter reading labor	25,924	27,265
Accounting/collection labor	14,374	10,577
Bad debt expense	13,642	9,840
Customer premises expense	22,161	29,422
Total customer accounts expenses	\$ 76,101	\$ 77,104

### COMPARATIVE DETAIL OF OPERATING EXPENSES For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,

Description	2007	2006
Operating Expenses (Con't)	****	
Administrative & general expenses		
Administrative & general salaries	\$ 137,020	\$ 147,233
Office salaries	100,908	87,446
Directors fees	12,000	7,500
Employee benefits/pension	221,365	283,925
Advertising/Marketing expense	8,396	1,705
Transportation expense	26,108	13,719
Outside services - auditing	47,186.00	12,515.00
Outside services - legal	14,057	25,777
Outside services - miscellaneous	3,000	4,400
Donations	8,890	10,080
Office supplies expense	33,310	26,734
Regulatory Commission expense	2,899	5,856
Rate case amortization	18,813	18,816
Dues	2,234	3,782
Duplicate charge credit	(5,141)	(5,753)
Total administrative & general expenses	631,045	643,735
Depreciation expense		
Depreciation	226,814	248,064
	220,011	210,001
Total depreciation expense	226,814	248,064
Taxes other than income taxes		
Property taxes	38,776	37,095
Utility receipts tax	75,065	77,165
FICA & unemployment taxes	33,534	34,406
	00,004	04,400
Total taxes other than income taxes	147,375	148,666
Income Taxes		
Federal income taxes	123,173	183,460
Indiana supplemental tax	36,313	56,802
Deferred income taxes	27,584	(65,494)
Investment tax credit	(1,800)	(1,800)
	(1,000)	(1,000)
Total income taxes	185,270	172,968
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 5,172,890	\$ 5,579,537

#### Pro-Forma Operating Income Statement At Pro-Forma Present and Proposed Rates For the 12 Months Ended December 31, 2007

Increase Required:

6.93%

	December				Pro-Forma Present				Pro-Forma Proposed	
,		A	djustments	Ref		Rates	Adjustments	Ref	Rates	
Operating Expenses										
Gas Sales Other Gas Revenues	\$ 5,331,514 25,143	\$	(3,669,179)	(1)	\$	1,662,335 25,143	\$444,057	(A)	\$	2,106,392 25,143
Total Operating Revenues	5,356,657		(3,669,179)			1,687,478	444,057			2,131,535
Operating Expenses										
Natural Gas Purchased	3,711,740		(3,711,740)	(2)		-				-
Other Operation & Maintenance	901,691		96,447	(3&4)		998,138	1,715	(B & C)		999,853
Depreciation & Amortization	226,814		38,903	(5)		265,717				265,717
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	147,375		30,510	(6)		177,885	6,217	(D)		184,102
Income Taxes	185,270		(91,895)	(7)		93,375	173,098	. (E)		266,473
Total Operating Expenses	5,172,890		(3,637,775)	-		1,535,115	181,030	-		1,716,145
Net Operating Income	\$ 183,767	\$	(31,404)		\$	152,363	\$ 263,027	<b>.</b>	\$	415,390

#### **DETAIL OF PRO-FORMA PRESENT RATE ADJUSTMENTS**

#### (1) REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS

(a)
Decrease in "Operating Revenue" from the elimination of GCA Revenue included in the rate case.

\$(2,684,868)

To adjust "Operating Revenue" for changes in the Base Cost of Gas Revenues and to set the Base Cost of Gas to zero.

		Pre NTA an - Apr)		Post NTA May - Dec)
Test Year Sales - DTH Weather Normalization @ Margin Rate- 1 (c)		217,724	<u></u>	184,302
New Residential Dth from CTA area - Ave. Revenue Per Customer New volumes from CTA area @ Margin Rate - 1 (e)		2,000		
Adjusted Test Year Sales-DTH		219,724		184,302
Pro-Forma Cost of Gas Less: Unaccounted for and Company Use	\$	-	\$	-
Base Cost of Gas	\$	•	\$	
New Base Rate Cost of Gas Less:	\$	-	\$	-
Prior Base Rate Cost of Gas Cause No. 40965-U - DTH	_\$_	5.8510	\$	(0.0909)
Net Change in Base Rate Cost of Gas		(5.8510)		0.0909
Adjusted Test Year Sales - DTH		219,724	•	184,302
Increase / (Decrease) in Operating Revenues Resulting From the Change in Base Rate Cost of Gas	<u>\$(1</u>	1,285,605)	\$	16,753 \$

#### DETAIL OF PRO-FORMA PRESENT RATE ADJUSTMENTS

#### (1) REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS CONTINUED

(c)
To Adjust "Operating Revenue" for the normalization of warmer than normal weather.

	Gen Service Heat	Commercial Heat	Total
Sales- DTH Base Load	153,992 26,488	188,198 81,544	
Temperature Sensitive Sales Weather Factor - Warmer Than Normal	127,504 14.95%	106,654 14.95%	
Increase in Sales - DTH Last Rate Block - Margin	19,062 \$ 2.5968	15,945 \$ 2.5820	
Additional Weather Normalization Revenue	\$ 49,500	\$ 41,170	\$ 90,670
Less: NTA Revenue Collected during Test Year			(47,144)
Increase / (Decrease) in Operating Revenue result warmer than normal weather.	ing from		\$ 43,526
To adjust "Operating Revenue" for reduction in sales of the sales of t	(e)		\$ (27,911) \$ 268,926
Total Adjustment to "Operating Revenues" - In	ncrease / (Decrea	se)	\$ (3,669,179)

#### **DETAIL OF PRO-FORMA PRESENT RATE ADJUSTMENTS**

#### (2) NATURAL GAS PURCHASED ADJUSTMENT

To adjust "Natural Gas Purchased" to eliminate the cost of natural gas purchased from base rates.

Test Year Sales - DTH Weather Normalization Volumes	402,026 35,007
New volumes from CTA area	23,132
Adjusted Test Year Purchases - DTH	460,165
Unaccounted for Gas Percentage-Test Year	0.00%
Pro-Forma Purchased Gas - DTH	-
Anticipated Cost of Delivered System Supply Gas - Per DTH	\$ 10.31
Pro-Forma Cost of Gas	\$
Less: Test Year Purchased Gas	3,711,740
Increase / (Decrease) in "Natural Gas Purchased"	<u>\$(3,711,740)</u>

113,840

### INDIANA UTILITIES CORPORATION Corydon, Indiana

#### **DETAIL OF PRO-FORMA PRESENT RATE ADJUSTMENTS**

#### (3) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS

(a) To adjust other "Operation and Maintenance" expense for the annualization of payroll and wage increases (Net of Amount Capitalized), Payroll Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease) 59,559 (b) To Increase "Operations and Maintenance" expense for Pension Contribution based on payroll annualization and increase in wages. Pension Expense Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease) 14,471 (c) To adjust other "Operation and Maintenance" expense for the amortization of rate case expense. **Estimated Cost of Rate Case** 145,000 Actual Cost of NTA Proceeding 12,935 **Estimated Cost of Mailing Notice to Customers** 1,250 **Total Estimated Cost** 159,185 Amortization Period - Years 53,062 Less: Amount included in Test Year (18,813)Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease) 34,249 (d) To Adjust "Operation and Maintenance" expense to reflect current IURC fee. Applicable Revenues at Present Rates. (Including GCA Revenue) 6,430,724 **Current IURC Rate** 0.001315587 Pro-Forma IURC Fee at Present Rates 8,460 Less: Test Year IURC Fee 2,899 Adjustment - Increase 5,561

Total Adjustment to "Operation and Maintenance" expense - Increase / (Decrease)

#### **DETAIL OF PRO-FORMA PRESENT RATE ADJUSTMENTS**

#### (4) ADMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS

(a) To adjust "Administrative & General" expense for the increased cost of Postage by the U.S. Postal Service in 2007.				
Cost of 1 ostage by the c.o. 1 ostal ostvice in 2007.				
Number of pieces of mail		25,271		
Increase in postage rate	\$	0.02		
Adjustment Increase / (Decrease) in Postage Expense			\$	505
(b)  To adjust "Administrative & General" expense for transportation cost associated with the increase in gasoline prices				
Current Gasoline Charge per Gallon	\$	3.95		
Average Gasoline Charge per Gallon During Test Year	·	3.00		
		0.95	•	
Gallons of Gasoline Purchased in Test Year		7,859		
Transportation Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease)			\$	7,466
(c) To adjust "Administrative & General" expense to remove charitable contributions.			\$	(8,890)
(d)				
To adjust "Administrative & General" expense for increase / (decrease) in accounting	fees.			
Pro-Forma Accounting/Auditing Fees	\$	28,600		
Less: Test Year Accounting/Auditing Fees		47,186		
Accounting Fees Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease)			\$	(18,586)
(e)				
To adjust "Administrative & General" expense for annual maintenance for new billing system purchased by Indiana Utilities				
Pro-Forma Billing System Maintenance Expense Less: Test Year Expense	\$	2,111 -	_	
Annual Maintenance Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease)			\$	2,111
Total Adjustment to "Administrative & General" Expense - Increase / (Decrease)			\$	(17,394)

#### **DETAIL OF PRO-FORMA PRESENT RATE ADJUSTMENTS**

#### (5) DEPRECIATION EXPENSE ADJUSTMENT

To adjust "Depreciation Expense" to reflect current plant in service.

Transmission & Distribution Plant In Service @ 12/31/2007	\$ 6,429,350		
Plus: Additions from Rate Base Schedule	766,468		
Less: Fully Depreciated	(289,577)		
Sub Total	6,906,241		·
Depreciation Rate	3%		
	\$	207,187	
Building Structures & Improvements @ 12/31/2007	\$ 160,484		
Less: Fully Depreciated	(61,500)		
Sub Total	98,984		
Depreciation Rate	3%		
•	***************************************	2,970	
Transportation and Computer Equipment @ 12/31/2007	407,979	·	
Plus: Additions from Rate Base Schedule	31,415		
Less: Fully Depreciated	(207,546)		
Sub Total	231,848		
Depreciation Rate	20%		
		46,370	
All Other General Plant @ 12/31/2007	296,488		
Less: Fully Depreciated	(112,679)		
Sub Total	183,809		
Depreciation Rate	5%		•
		9,190	
Total Pro-Forma Depreciation Expense		265,717	
Less: Test Year Depreciation Expense		(226,814)	
Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease) in Depreciation Expense		<u>\$</u>	38,903
		***	

30,510

### INDIANA UTILITIES CORPORATION Corydon, Indiana

#### **DETAIL OF PRO-FORMA PRESENT RATE ADJUSTMENTS**

#### (6) TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAX ADJUSTMENTS

(a)

To adjust "Taxes Other Than Income Tax" for increase in FICA Taxes due to payroll increase.

#### **FICA Taxes:**

Payroll Wage Increase Subject To FICA Limits FICA Tax Rate	•	559 65%
Increase / (Decrease) in FICA Taxes		\$ 4,556
o adjust "Taxes Other Than Income Tax" for Increased	(b)	

To adjust "Taxes Other Than Income Tax" for Increased cost of property taxes for 2008

Property Tax:

DLGF Plant Factor per March 2008 filing Plus Plant Additions			\$	3,102,063
Weighted Tax Rate - Test Year		0.015750		
Plus: Increase in Property Tax Rate - Estimated	3.00% _	0.000473	_	
Pro-Forma Property Tax Rate				0.016223
Pro-Forma Property Tax at Present Rates				50,323
Less: Test Year Property Tax Expense				38,776

Increase / (Decrease) in Property Tax Expense \$ 11,547

(c)

To adjust "Taxes Other Than Income Taxes" to reflect changes in applicable revenues for Utility Receipts Tax.

Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease) in Taxes Other Than Income Taxes

#### **Utility Receipts Tax:**

Utility Receipts	\$ 6,405,581		
Less: Exemption	1,000		
Bad debts	13,642		
Utility Receipts Subject to Utility Receipts Tax	6,390,939		
Applicable Utility Receipts Tax Rate	1.40%		
Pro-Forma at Present Rates	\$	89,473	
Less: Test Year Expense		75,066	
Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease) in State Utility Re	celpt Tax Expense	\$	14,407

#### **DETAIL OF PRO-FORMA PRESENT RATE ADJUSTMENTS**

#### (7) INCOME TAX ADJUSTMENTS

To adjust "Income Tax" to reflect changes in Revenues and Expenses.

Revenues and Expenses.						
(a)						
State Income Tax:						
State Taxable Income	\$	245,738				
Plus: Utility Receipts Tax		89,473				
Less: Synchronized Interest		(22,674)				
		312,537	•			
Applicable State Income Tax Rate		8.50%				
Pro-Forma at Present Rates			\$	26,566		
Less: Test Year Expense			· ·	36,313	_	
Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease) in State Income		·			Si	(0.747)
Tax Expense					Ψ_	(9,747)
(6)						
(b) <u>Federal Income Tax:</u>						
Net Operating Income before Federal Tax						
and State Income Tax.			\$	245,738		
Less:			Ψ	240,130		
Adjusted State Income Tax (Adj. 7a)				(26,566)		
Synchronized Interest				(22,674)		
,		•		(22,014)		
Federal Taxable Income				196,498		
Federal Taxable Rate				34%		
Adjusted Federal Income Tax Expense		•			\$	66,809
Less: Investment Tax Credit					•	(1,800)
Adjusted Federal Income Tax Expense						65,009
Less: Test Year Federal Income Tax					1.7	148,957
Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease) in Federal Income Tax Exp	pens	9 ·			\$	(82,148)
				·		
Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease) Income Taxes				:	\$	(91,895)

#### DETAIL OF PRO-FORMA PROPSED RATE ADJUSTMENTS

(A)

To adjust "Operating Revenues" to reflect proposed revenue increase.

increase.					
Proforma Sales of Gas at present rates - Schedule C-3 Requested rate increase	3 :	\$	6,405,581 6.93%	-	
Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease)	٠			\$	444,057
(B)					
To adjust "Other Operation and Maintenance" expense to reflect increase in IURC fee.					
Proposed Revenue Increase Current effective IURC fee rate		\$ 0.	444,057 13155870%	-	
Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease)				\$	584
(C)					
To adjust "Administrative and General Expense" to reflect increase in bad debts					
Increase in Revenue Requested Current Effective Rate	; .:	\$	444,057 0.002547	-	
Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease)					1,131
Total Increase In Other Operation and Maintenance				\$	1,715

#### **DETAIL OF PRO-FORMA PROPSED RATE ADJUSTMENTS**

(D)

To adjust "Taxes Other Than Income Tax" to reflect pro-forma changes in revenues and expenses.

#### **Utility Receipts Tax**

Proposed Revenue Increase Less: Increase in Bad Debts	\$ 444,057 (1,131)
Receipts subject to Tax	442,926
Applicable Utility Receipts Tax Rate	1.4%
Adjustment - Increase / (Decrease)	\$ 6,217

(E)

To adjust "Income Taxes" to reflect pro-forma changes in revenues and expenses.

#### State Income Tax:

Proposed Revenue Increase Less: Increase in IURC Fee Increase in Bad Debts	\$	444,057 (584) (1,131)	
Taxable Increase Applicable Income Tax Rate		442,342 8.50%	
Adjustment - Increase			\$ 37,599

#### **DETAIL OF PRO-FORMA PROPSED RATE ADJUSTMENTS**

(E) Continued

#### Federal Income Tax:

Proposed Revenue Increase Less: Increase in IURC Fee Increase in Utility Receipts Tax Increase in Bad Debts Increase in State Income Tax	\$ 444,057 (584) (6,217) (1,131) (37,599)	
Federal taxable income increase Applicable tax rate	 398,526 34%	
Adjustment-Increase	÷	\$ 135,499
Total Increase / (Decrease) to Income Tax	:	\$ 173,098

#### **SCHEDULE C-3**

### INDIANA UTILITIES CORPORATION Corydon, Indiana

#### TOTAL BILLED REVENUE CALCULATION

Estimated Volume of Gas Purchased - DTH	460,165
Estimated Base Cost of Gas for GCA	\$ 10.31
Estimated Cost of Gas To Be Included in Revenues	4,743,246
Pro-Forma Present Rate Gas Sales Revenue	1,662,335
Total Revenue Including Gas Costs	6,405,581
Requested Percentage Increase	6.93%
Revenue Adjustment Requested	\$ 444,057

#### **EXHIBIT D**

## INDIANA UTILITIES CORPORATION Corydon, Indiana

#### **ORIGINAL COST RATE BASE**

Utility Plant In Service As Of December 31, 2007 Plus: New Billing System			\$ 7,294,301 31,415
Plus: Capitalized Payroll from Adjusment 3a			16,468
Plus: New Construction for New Teritorial Expansion	on - Estimate		750,000
·		•	8,092,184
Less: Accumulated Depreciation Estimated		-	(3,714,139)
Net Utility Plant in Service			4,378,045
Plus:			
Working Capital	\$ 998,138 /8		124,767
Materials and Supplies			32,011
Total Original Cost Rate Base		2	\$ 4,534,823
Net Operating Income Authorized		\$ 415,390	

#### CAPITAL STRUCTURE As of December 31, 2007

Description	Amount	Percent of Total	Cost	Weighted Cost
Common Equity	\$7,701,673	79.04%	10.90%	8.62%
Customer Deposits	62,931	0.65%	6.00%	0.04%
Long Term Debt	750,000	7.70%	6.00%	0.46%
Post ITC	33,534	0.34%	10.43%	0.04%
Deferred Tax	1,196,023	12.27%	0.00%	0.00%
Total	\$9,744,161	100.00%		9.16%

#### **SCHEDULE E-1**

### INDIANA UTILITIES CORPORATION Corydon, Indiana

### CALCULATION OF POST-1970 ITC RATE As of December 31, 2007

Description	Amount	Percent of Total	Cost	Weighted Cost
Common Equity	\$7,701,673	90.45%	10.90%	9.86%
Long Term Debt	750,000	8.81%	6.00%	0.53%
Customer Deposits	62,931	0.74%	6.00%	0.04%
Total	\$8,514,604	100.00%		10.43%

### CALCULATION OF SYNCHRONIZED INTEREST As of December 31, 2007

Description	Amount	Percent of Total	Cost	Weighted Cost	Weighted Cost of Debt
Common Equity	<b>\$7,701,67</b> 3	79.31%	10.90%	8.64%	0.00%
Long Term Debt - New	750,000	7.72%	6.00%	0.46%	0.46%
Customer Deposits	62,931	0.65%	6.00%	0.04%	0.04%
Deferred Tax	1,196,023	12.32%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Total	\$9,710,627	87.68%		9.14%	0.50%
Rate Base	\$4,534,823				
Weighted Cost of Debt	0.50%				•
Synchronized Interest	\$22,674				